

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/661,472	09/15/2003	Sang Seok Lee	8733.870.00-US	9241	
30827	7590 08/25/2004		EXAMINER		
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			KOCH, GEORGE R		
	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1734		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	20
Office Action Summary		10/661,472	LEE ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		George R. Koch III	1734	-
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	Idress
THE II - Extending after a fit the control of the c	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing digratent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	timely filed ays will be considered timel m the mailing date of this co	ly. ommunication.
Status		Y		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.•		
		action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the	e merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition	on of Claims			
4) \(\times \) 5) \(\times \) 6) \(\times \) 7) \(\times \)	Claim(s) <u>1-113</u> is/are pending in the application ta) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-113</u> are subject to restriction and/or one	n from consideration.		
Application		sicolor requirement.		
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di			
[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is ol	bjected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
11)∐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) <u></u> ∫ 2 3	acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau Re the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicat y documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s				
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		152)

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-110, drawn to a substrate bonding device, classified in class'
 156, subclass 351.
 - II. Claims 111-113, drawn to a method of fabricating an LCD device, classified in class 156, subclass 64.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to bond optical disks.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,472 Page 3

Art Unit: 1734

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- 7. Species group 1: Wherein the electrostatic chuck includes:
 - a. polyimide.
 - b. A plurality of hole for transmitting a suction force
- 8. Species group 2: Wherein the first and second reels are:
 - c. Arranged elevationally lower than the surface of the lower stage.
 - d. Arranged elevationally higher than the surface of the upper stage.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from each group for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-33, 41-63, 71-86, 94-99, 107-110 in the apparatus and claims 111-113 in the method are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,472

Art Unit: 1734

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. A telephone call was made to Eric Nuss on 8/19/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1734

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Koch III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1230 (TDD only). If the applicant cannot make a direct TDD-to-TDD call, the applicant can communicate by calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-866-377-8642 and giving the operator the above TDD number. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George R. Koch III Patent Examiner Art Unit 1734

GRK 8/23/2004